Evolution of the QCD phase diagram in the Strong Coupling Region of Lattice QCD

Akira Ohnishi (YITP, Kyoto Univ.) in collaboration with K. Miura, N. Kawamoto

- Introduction
- Phase transition in Strong Coupling Limit of Lattice QCD along with Kawamoto's works
- Phase Diagram Evolution with 1/g² Correction
- Summary

See also, Poster by K. Miura



Congratulations, Profs. Ishikawa & Kawamoto

For your 60 year birthday !



He always says, "バカヤロー!" ("F*** you !"), " 冗談いってんじゃねえよ" (No kidding !), and "Strong Coupling is much better than 4-Fermi (Nambu-Jona-Lasinio)."

- I am invited as a collaborator of Kawamoto-san, then I have to tell the truth of him.
 - I know him

in Kyoto U. ↔ (Student) in Inst. for Nuclear Study ↔ (PD, staff in Hokkaido U.) and in Hokkaido U. ↔ (Hokkaido U./YITP)




Phase Transition in Strong Coupling Limit of Lattice QCD along with Kawamoto's works



I'm interested in

Quark / Hadron / Nuclear Matter EOS and Phase Diagram



Rich Structure / Astrophysical implications / Accessible in HIC → *Can we understand it in QCD ?*



Lattice QCD

Lattice QCD=ab initio, non-perturbative theory (c.f. Teper's talk)

$$S_{\text{LQCD}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,j} \left[\eta_{\nu,x} \bar{\chi}_x U_{\nu,x} \chi_{x+\hat{\nu}} - \eta_{\nu,x}^{-1} \bar{\chi}_{x+\hat{\nu}} U_{\nu,x}^{\dagger} \chi_x \right] - \frac{1}{g^2} \sum_{\Box} \text{tr} \left[U_{\Box} + U_{\Box}^{\dagger} \right] + m_0 \sum_x \bar{\chi}_x \chi_x$$

$$U_{\nu}^{+} \underbrace{U_{\mu}}_{U_{\mu}}^{+} U_{\nu} \qquad \overbrace{\chi}_{\mu} \underbrace{U_{\mu}}_{\mu} \chi \qquad \overbrace{\chi}_{\mu} \underbrace{U_{\mu}}_{\mu} \chi \qquad \overbrace{\chi}_{\mu} \underbrace{U_{\mu}}_{\mu} \underbrace{\chi}_{\mu} \underbrace{M = \overline{\chi} \chi}_{\mu}$$

- Problems to overcome
 - DOF is too much, and MC is necessary for numerical integration
 → Faster Computer + Faster Algorithm
 - Doublers appear for chiral fermions \rightarrow different types of fermions
 - Weight for gluon config. (Fermion determinant) becomes complex at finite μ
 - \rightarrow Taylor expansion, Analytic Continuation, Canonical, ...
 - → Not Yet Applicable for Dense and Cold Matter !

Strong Coupling Limit/Expansion makes it possible to obtain (approx.) Effective Potential analytically !




Strong Coupling Lattice QCD: Pure Gauge

- Quarks are confined in Strong Coupling QCD
 - Strong Coupling Limit (SCL)
 → Fill Wilson Loop
 - with Min. # of Plaquettes
 - → Area Law (Wilson, 1974)

$$S_{\rm LQCD} = -\frac{1}{g^2} \sum_{\Box} \operatorname{tr} \left[U_{\Box} + U_{\Box}^{\dagger} \right]$$

 Smooth Transition from SCL to pQCD in MC (Creutz, 1980) *K. G. Wilson, PRD10(1974),2445 M. Creutz, PRD21(1980), 2308. G. Munster, 1981*






N_t

Ohnishi, Sapporo Winter School, Jan. 8-9, 2009

Strong Coupling Limit of LQCD with Quarks

N. Kawamoto, NPB190('81),617, N. Kawamoto, J. Smit, NPB192('81)100 Kluberg-Stern, Morel, Napoly, Petersson, 1981

- How about spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking ?
- Strong Coupling Limit (SCL) of Lattice QCD with Quarks
 - No Plaquette in SCL
 - → Mesonic Effective Action from One Link Integral
 - \rightarrow Effective Potential in σ and π from contour integral
 - \rightarrow SSB of the Chiral Sym.



Chiral Transition at Finite Temperature


P.H.Damgaard, N. Kawamoto, K.Shigemoto, PRL53('84),2211; NPB264 ('86), 1 Faldt, Petersson, 1986; Bilic, Karsch, Redlich, 1992; Fukushima,2004, Nishida, 2004

Chiral Symmetry would be restored at high temperature → SCL-LQCD at Finite Temperatures

- Staggered Fermion
 with Anti-Periodic B.C.
 → Matsubara Product
- Polyakov gauge & Group integral (Vandermonde determinant)
- Effective Potential (U(3))

$$V_{\rm eff} = \frac{1}{4} N\beta d\sigma^2 - \ln\left\{\frac{\sinh[(N+1)\beta s]}{\sinh(\beta s)}\right\}$$

 \rightarrow Chiral Phase Transition at T_c = 2.5 a⁻¹






Chiral Phase Transition at Finite Density

P.H.Damgaard, D. Hochberg, N. Kawamoto, PLB158('86)239 Hasenfatz, Karsch, 1983; Azcoiti et al., 2003; Kawamoto, Miura, AO, Ohnuma, 2007

- QCD phase transition is also expected at high density
 - Baryon Rich QGP and/or Color SuperConductor are expected in the Neutron Star Core
- Strong Coupling Limit in SU(N)



Is Kawamoto correct (SCL-LQCD and NJL) ?

- Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) Model
 - Nobel prize in Physics, 2008 to Y. Nambu Discovery of the mechanism of spontaneous broken symmetry in subatomic physics (Nambu and Jona-Lasinio, 1961)
 - Chiral phase transition at finite T and μ E.g. Hatsuda, Kunihiro, PRe247('94)221
 - χ and Deconfinement transition at finite T and μ E.g., Fukushima, PLB591('04)277; C. Ratti, M.A. Thaler, W. Weise, PRD73('06)014019
- Strong Coupling Lattice QCD
 - Quark Confinement
 - Chiral Phase Transition at finite T and/or μ

We cannot say Kawamoto is correct, but at least there is one example, ...








Chiral RMF based on SCL-LQCD

Tsubakihara, Ohnishi, PTP117('07)903

- Chiral Collapse Problem: At finite ρ_B, Nucleon Fermi Integral favors smaller σ
 →Chiral Sym. is restored below ρ₀ in φ⁴ theory (Lee-Wick, 1974) (Effective potential from NJL is similar to that in φ⁴ theory)
- Chiral nuclear many-body theory (RMF) based on the effective potential from SCL-LQCD has no chiral collapse problem, and is successful in explaining nuclear properties



Phase Diagram Evolution with 1/g² Correction




Evolution of Phase Diagram as a function of Time

- Phase Diagram "Shape" becomes closer to that of Real World, R=3 μ_c/T_c ~ (6-12)
 - $1985 \rightarrow R=0.79$ (Zero T / Finite T)
 - 1992 \rightarrow R=0.83 (Finite T & μ)
 - 2004 \rightarrow R= 0.99 (Finite T& μ)

• 2007
$$\rightarrow$$
 R=1.34 (Baryon)


T Damgaad, Kawamoto, Finite T
Shigemoto, 1984
$$T_c=1.1$$
 GeV
Conjecture !
Damgaad, Hochberg,
Kawamoto, 1985
Finite μ
1985 $\mu_c=290$ MeV



Towards the Realistic Phase Diagram

- Why we cannot explain the phase diagram shape ? $\rightarrow N_f$ (Staggered fermion) ? quark mass ? Finite Coupling ?
 - μ_c (SCL) ~ $M_N/3$ (within a factor 2), T_c (SCL) >> 200 MeV
 - \rightarrow Larger problem should be in T_c, rather than in μ_c

Expectation before Calc.




Preliminary Resuls with $1/g^2$ reffects 20070.5

Gluon Contribution is important at High T



Effective Potential in SCL-LQCD



Effective Potential with $1/g^2$ (1)

1/d expansion of Plaquette action (Spatial One-Link Integral)


Faldt, Petersson (86); Bilic, Karsch, Redlich (92)


$$\int dU U_{ab} U_{cd}^{+} = \frac{1}{N_c} \delta_{ad} \delta_{bc}$$


- Spatial plaquett $\rightarrow MMMM$
- Temporal Link $\rightarrow V^+V^-$


$$V_x^+ = e^{\mu} \bar{\chi}_x U_0(x) \chi_{x+\hat{0}}$$
$$V_x^- = e^{-\mu} \bar{\chi}_{x+\hat{0}} U_0^{\dagger}(x) \chi_x$$

Effective Action









$$\begin{split} \Delta S_{\beta}^{(\tau)} &= \frac{1}{4N_c^2 g^2} \sum_{x,j>0} (V_x^+ V_{x+\hat{j}}^- + V_x^+ V_{x-\hat{j}}^-) \\ \Delta S_{\beta}^{(s)} &= -\frac{1}{8N_c^4 g^2} \sum_{x,k>j>0} M_x M_{x+\hat{j}} M_{x+\hat{k}} M_{x+\hat{k}+\hat{j}} \end{split}$$



Effective Potential with $1/g^2$ (2)

Miura, AO, arXiv:0806.3357; Proc. of LAT08

Extended Hubbard-Stratonovich (EHS) Transf.

$$e^{\alpha AB} = \int d\varphi \, d\phi \, e^{-\alpha \left\{ \phi^2 - (A+B)\phi + \phi^2 - i(A-B)\phi \right\}}$$
$$= \int d\psi \, d\bar{\psi} \, e^{-\alpha \left\{ \bar{\psi}\psi - A\psi - \bar{\psi}B \right\}} \approx \left. e^{-\alpha \left\{ \bar{\psi}\psi - A\psi - \bar{\psi}B \right\}} \right|_{\text{stationary}}$$

- Applicable to product of different composites
- Keeps the scaling invariance even after stationary cond.

$$A \to \lambda A$$
 and $B \to \lambda^{-1} B$, $\longrightarrow \psi \to \lambda^{-1} \psi$ and $\overline{\psi} \to \lambda \overline{\psi}$.

• Real A and B \rightarrow Mean field approx. ϕ , Saddle point approx. for ϕ

$$e^{lpha AB} pprox e^{-lpha \left\{ \varphi^2 - (A+B) \varphi - \phi^2 + (A-B) \phi
ight\}}$$




Effective Potential with 1/g^2 (3)

E.g. Temporal Plaquette action becomes,

$$\Delta S_{\beta}^{(\tau)} \approx \frac{1}{4N_c^2 g^2} \sum_{x,j>0} \left[\varphi_{\tau}^2 + (V_x^+ - V_{x+\hat{j}}^-)\varphi_{\tau} - \phi_{\tau}^2 - (V_x^+ + V_{x+\hat{j}}^-)\phi_{\tau} \right] + (j \leftrightarrow -j)$$

Effective Action becomes similar to the SCL action,





Effective Potential with $1/g^2$ (4)

Effective Potential (after subst. equil. value for \phi_{\tau} and \phi_{s})

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}} = &\mathcal{F}_{\text{X}}(\sigma, \phi_{\tau}) + \mathcal{V}_{\text{q}}(m_{q}(\sigma), \tilde{\mu}(\phi_{\tau}), T) \\ \mathcal{V}_{\text{q}} = &-T \log \left[X_{N_{c}}(E_{q}/T) + 2 \cosh(N_{c}\tilde{\mu}/T) \right] \end{aligned} \text{Same as SCL} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\text{X}} = &\frac{1}{2} b_{\sigma} \sigma^{2} + \frac{\beta_{\tau}}{2} \sigma^{2} (m_{q}^{\text{SCL}})^{2} + \frac{3d\beta_{s}}{2} \sigma^{4} - \frac{\beta_{\tau}}{2} \phi_{\tau}^{2} \\ m_{q} = &m_{q}^{\text{SCL}} (1 - N_{c}\beta_{\tau}) + \beta_{\tau} \sigma (m_{q}^{\text{SCL}})^{2} + 2d\beta_{s} \sigma^{3} \end{aligned} \text{from Plaq.}$$

• W.F.Renormalization factor $(1 + \beta_{\tau} \phi_{\tau})$ in the Eff. Action \rightarrow suppr. of quark mass m_q

- Higher order terms $M^4 \rightarrow \sigma^4$ (Self-energy of σ)
- Aux. Field $\phi_{\tau} = \rho_{q}$ (equil.) \rightarrow "Vector" Field (μ shift, Repulsion)

Let us examine the phase diagram with this F_{eff} !




Evolution of T_c **and** μ_c

Miura, AO, arXiv:0806.3357; Proc. of LAT08 T_a (μ =0) rapidly decreases with $\beta = 6/g^2$ increases. *(c.f. Bilic et al. '92)*

• MC results (N_{τ} =2) Quench β_c =5.097(1) (Kennedy et al, 1985) m_0 =0.05 $\rightarrow \beta_c$ =3.81(2), m_0 =0.025 $\rightarrow \beta_c$ =3.67(2) (de Forcrand, private comm.)

MC results with small m_0 agrees with SC-LQCD !





Third Phase in Chiral Phase Transition

Miura, AO, arXiv:0806.3357; Proc. of LAT08

- Vector field (φ_τ) acts more repulsively at smaller σ, and generates a local minimum in the region of $σ << σ_{vac}$
- Smaller σ
 - → Smaller const. quark mass
 - \rightarrow Larger ρ_q
 - → Repulsive Vector Potential & Smaller µ_{eff}
 - \rightarrow Later P.T. to Wigner phase ($\sigma=0$)

There may be THREE types of states in xSSB pattern at High Densities !








Phase Diagram

Miura, AO, arXiv:0806.3357; Proc. of LAT08

- Three phases in SC-LQCD with $N_c=3$, $6/g^2 > 3.53$, $m_0=0$ (χ limit)
 - Nambu-Goldstone (NG) phase: Large σ, Small ρ_α, Small P
 - Winger phase: $\sigma=0$, Large ρ_{α} , finite P





Ohnishi, Sapporo Winter School, Jan. 8-9, 2009

Summary and Discussions

- Strong Coupling QCD has been and still is a powerful tool to analyze the QCD phase diagram.
 - Analytic group integral \rightarrow No (at least small) sign problem
 - Well-defined approximation (large g, 1/d expansion, mean field)
 → Systematic improvements are possible.
- Effects of finite coupling on the phase diagram are significant.
 - Extended Hubbard-Stratonovich transf. gives rise to "Vector" potential
 - Agreement of T_c (g_c) with MC is encouraging.

Pisarski, McLerran, 2007

We may have the third chiral phase at finite density, which may be regarded as the Quarkyonicphase at large N_c.



Ohnishi, Sapporo Winter School, Jan. 8-9, 2009

Ц

Kawamoto, Miura, AO,

μ

SCL (no B)

20091.5

0.5

in prep.

0.5

6/g²

Summary and Discussions

- Problems: It is not yet "The QCD"
 - One species of staggered fermion without quarter/square root $\rightarrow N_f = 4$
 - Leading order in 1/d (d=spatial dim.)
 → No baryon effects with 1/g² corrections
 - Mean Field treatment, No Diqaurk condensate
 - NLO in 1/g² expansion (No Polyakov Loop dynamics) c.f. Miura
- Big Challenge from Nuclear Physics point of view → Nuclear Matter EOS from SC-LQCD

(MC simulation with warm MDP simulation, Fromm, de Forcrand, 2008)

Thank you Ishikawa-san and Kawamoto-san, for your contributions in Education, Research, and many other things.




Backup



Comparison with Other Models

SC-LQCD results are similar to 2+1 flavor PNJL results in Chiral Cond., Baryon Density, and Polyakov Loop Fukushima,

икиsnima, PRD77(114028)08





Ohnishi, Sapporo Winter School, Jan. 8-9, 2009


Present

Fukushima, 2008

Comparison with Other Models

Quarkyonic(-like) Area in SC-LQCD is smaller than in PNJL


Fukushima (08) Abuki, Anglani Gatto, Nardulli, Ruggieril [arXiv:0805.1509]






Ohnishi, Sapporo Winter School, Jan. 8-9, 2009

Why do we want to study QCD phase diagram ?



How Far Do We Know?



A Conjecture from Large N_c: Quarkyonic Phase


Pisarski, McLerran, 2007

Discussion at large N_c

- Pressure: Gluon = $O(N_c^2)$, Quark = $O(N_c)$, Hadron = O(1)
 - → DECONFINEMENT phase transition (order parameter = Polyakov loop) is independent from quark chemical potential μ as far as μ = O(1).

• Large
$$\mu$$
 (N_c $\mu > M_B$) but low T (T < T_d)

- → Weakly interacting quark gas, but no free gluons (confined).
- = High Density *Confined* Phase



A Conjecture from Large N_c: Quarkyonic Phase


Pisarski, McLerran, 2007

Quark

Confined High Density Matter at Large N_c

Quarkyonic Phase
 (Quarks deeply inside the Fermi Sphere, with baryonic excitations)

Do we really see this phase at Nc=3 ? What happens to Chiral Symmetry ?



Ohnishi, Sapporo Winter School, Jan. 8-9, 2009

OCD